

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/049,749	06/26/2002	Michael Charles Sheppard	US57.0320-WO	5993
75	90 01/12/2005		EXAM	INER
Schlumberger Doll Research			FAYYAZ, NASHMIYA SAQIB	
Intellectual Property Law Department 36 Old Quarry Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ridgefield, CT 06877			2856	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/049,749	SHEPPARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nashmiya S. Fayyaz	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 October 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 and 19 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, ,	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicati riority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	4\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	((DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 10/25/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claim 13 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/25/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 8-12, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howell- U.S. Patent # 5,247,835. As to claims 1-3, 6, 8, 10-12, and 15-18, Howell discloses a system for making measurements including a probe N with sensor transducers ABC along with a housing Z comprising a memory "b" with plurality of separable data receptors i.e. "removable memory cards", see Fig. 1 and col. 4, lines 6-10 and claim 1 which describes coupling of the memory means to the transducers. It is noted that the Howell device is not recited for making measurements in a "wellbore". However, it is also noted that the preamble does not afford the effect of a distinguishing limitation since the body of the claim does not refer back to or breathe life or breadth

Art Unit: 2856

into the claim. Further, Howell fails to indicate that the cards are released after data transfer. However, the cards are indicated as being "removable". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have removed the memory cards subsequent to data collection since the object of the invention is data collection. As to claim 2, it is inherent that once the memory cards are removed that the electrical connection would be broken. As to claim 3, although a port is not described, it is inherent that since the cards are housed in an "enclosure" z, that a port of some sort must be employed to remove the removable cards from the enclosure. As to claim 8, usage of plastics or metal material appear to be known for memory cards. As to claim 9, the claim merely recites intended usage and fails to recite structure limitations. As to claims 10-11, the diameter size is not given by Howell but appears to be a matter of design choice. As to claim 12, encryption of data prior to storage appears to be known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in the event that sensitive material is being stored. As to claims 15-16 and 18, the transducer is housed within the housing of probe N and the device is a pile driver that could be used test structures such as a wellbore for logging which would require a wireline. As to claim 17, note battery a.

5. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howell as applied to claims 1-3, 8-12, and 15-18 above, and further in view of Gilpin et al- U.S. Patent # 5,554,822. As to claims 4-5, Howell does not give details of the memory card housing. However, in a related prior art device, Gilpin et al disclose a sealable, latchable door assembly for use with a portable electronic device that has a

Art Unit: 2856

housing adapted to removably receive a memory card, see abstract. Inclusion of a sealable door as disclosed by Gilpin et al. would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in order to protect the memory card from damage from the environment.

- 6. Claim 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howell as applied to claims 1-3, 8-12, and 15-18 above, and further in view of Jp-6671. As to claims 6 and 7, the shape of the casing for the memory card as a hemispherical is not provided by Howell. In a related prior art device, JP-6671 discloses a memory card which is sealed using a resin in a hemispherical or conical shape, see translation of Abstract. Inclusion of such an expediency would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention since it is disclosed as preventing cracks, see Abstract.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 8, 10-12, and 15-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nashmiya S. Fayyaz whose telephone number is 571-272-2192. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,749

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2856

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

NFayyaz Examiner Art Unit 2856

nf 1/6/03

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800